Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/790,944	ZURKO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	WILLIAM S. POWERS	2434
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) WILLIAM S. POWERS.	(3)	
(2) MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 May 2009	Time: <u>10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 Prior art documents discussed:		
Thorait documents discussed.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/790,944

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed incorporating elements of Applicant's arguments that were persuasive, but were not reflected in the claim limitations as written. The arguments have support in the specification and the Examiner suggested amending the claims to include these support elements in the independent claim in order to overcome the art of record.